arXiv:1010.3398v1 [math.DG] 17 Oct 2010

A-Poisson structures

Basile Guy Richard BOSSOTO(1), Eugène OKASSA(2)
Université Marien NGOUABI, Faculté des Sciences,
Département de Mathématiques
B.P.69 - BRAZZAVILLE- (Congo)
E-mail: (1)bossotob@yahoo.fr, (2)eugeneokassa@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Let M be a paracompact differentiable manifold, A a local algebra and M^A a manifold of infinitely near points on M of kind A. We define the notion of A-Poisson manifold on M^A. We show that when M is a Poisson manifold, then M^A is an A-Poisson manifold. We also show that if (M, Ω) is a symplectic manifold, the structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A defined by Ω^A coincide with the prolongation on M^A of the Poisson structure on M defined by the symplectic form Ω.

Key words: Near points manifold, local algebra, Poisson manifold, symplectic manifold, A-Poisson manifold.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 17D63 , 53D17, 53D05, 58A32.

1 Introduction

In what follows, M denotes a paracompact differentiable manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M, A a local algebra (in the sense of André Weil) i.e a real commutative algebra with unit, of finite dimension, and with an unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of codimension 1 over $\mathbb{R}$. In this case, there exists an integer h such that $\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} = (0)$ and $\mathfrak{m}^h \neq (0)$. The integer h is the height of A. Also we have $A = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

For example the algebra of dual numbers

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}[T]/(T^2)$$

is a local algebra with height 1.

We recall that a near point of $x \in M$ of kind A is a morphism of algebras

$$\xi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow A$$

such that

$$[\xi(f) - f(x)] \in \mathfrak{m}$$

for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. We denote M_x^A the set of near points of $x \in M$ of kind A and

$$M^A = \bigcup_{x \in M} M_x^A$$

the manifold of infinitely near points on M of kind A [7].

We have $\mathbb{R}^A = A$, $M^{\mathbb{D}} = TM$ where TM is the tangent bundle of M.

When the dimension of M is n, then the dimension of M^A is $n \times \dim(A)$ [7]. Let (U, φ) be a local chart with local coordinates $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. The application

$$U^A \longrightarrow A^n, \xi \longmapsto (\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2), ..., \xi(x_n)),$$

is a bijection from U^A to an open of A^n. Thus M^A is an A-manifold of dimension n.

The set, $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, of smooth functions on M^A with values in A is a commutative algebra with unit over A.

For any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, the application

$$f^A : M^A \longrightarrow A, \xi \longmapsto \xi(f),$$

is smooth and the application

$$C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), f \longmapsto f^A,$$

is a monomophism of algebras.

The following assertions are equivalent [1]:

1. X is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M^A)$ i.e. X is a vector field on M^A;
2. $X : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear application such that, for any $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$,
$$X(fg) = X(f) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot X(g)$$
i.e. X is a derivation from $C^{\infty}(M)$ to $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ with respect the module structure
$$C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \times C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), (F, f) \longmapsto F \cdot f^A.$$

Thus the set, $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$, of vector fields on M^A considered as derivations of $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$ is a module over $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$.

When

$$\theta : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$$

is a vector field on M, then the application

$$\theta^A : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), f \longmapsto [\theta(f)]^A,$$

is a vector field on M^A. We say that the vector field θ^A is the prolongation to M^A of the vector field θ.

If X is a vector field on M^A, considered as a derivation of $C^\infty(M)$ into $C^\infty(M^A, A)$, then there exists, [1], an unique derivation

$$\widetilde{X} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

such that

1. $\widetilde{X}$ is A-linear;
2. $\widetilde{X}\left[C^\infty(M^A)\right] \subset C^\infty(M^A)$;
3. $\widetilde{X}(f^A) = X(f)$ for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,r}$ be a basis of A and $(a^*_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,r}$ be the dual basis.

If

$$Y : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is an A-linear derivation such that

$$Y(f^A) = \widetilde{X}(f^A)$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then

$$Y\left[C^\infty(M^A)\right] \subset C^\infty(M^A)$$

since

$$Y(a^*_\alpha \circ f^A) = \widetilde{X}(a^*_\alpha \circ f^A) \in C^\infty(M^A)$$

for any $\alpha = 1, 2, .., r$. Thus, [1], $Y = \widetilde{X}$.

The application

$$[,] : \mathfrak{X}(M^A) \times \mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M^A), (X, Y) \longmapsto \widetilde{X} \circ Y - \widetilde{Y} \circ X,$$

is A-bilinear and defines a structure of A-Lie algebra on $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ [1].

If we denote $Der\left[C^\infty(M^A, A)\right]$, the $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-module of derivations of $C^\infty(M^A, A)$, then the application

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow Der\left[C^\infty(M^A, A)\right], X \longmapsto \widetilde{X},$$

is a morphism of A-Lie algebras [1].

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Lambda^p(M^A, A) = \mathcal{L}^p_{sks}\left[\mathfrak{X}(M^A), C^\infty(M^A, A)\right]$$

denotes the $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-module of skew-symmetric multilinear forms of degree p on $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$. We say that $\Lambda^p(M^A, A)$ is the $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-module of differential A-forms of degree p on M^A. We have

$$\Lambda^0(M^A, A) = C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

We denote

$$\Lambda(M^A, A) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^p(M^A, A).$$

If ω is a differential form of degree p on M, then there exists an unique differential A-form of degree p on M^A such that

$$\omega^A(\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A, ..., \theta_p^A) = [\omega(\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_p)]^A$$

for any vector fields $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_p \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We say that the differential A-form ω^A is the prolongation to M^A of the differential form ω [2], [5].

When

$$d : \Lambda(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda(M)$$

is the exterior diffentiation operator, we denote

$$d^A : \Lambda(M^A, A) \longrightarrow \Lambda(M^A, A)$$

the cohomology operator associated to the representation

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow Der\left[C^\infty(M^A, A)\right], X \longmapsto \widetilde{X}.$$

We recall that for $\eta \in \Lambda^p(M^A, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d^A\eta)(X_1, X_2, ..., X_{p+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} \widetilde{X_i}\left[\eta(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_{p+1})\right] \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j}\ \eta([X_i, X_j], X_1, ..., \widehat{X_i},\ ..., \widehat{X_j}, ..., X_{p+1})
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields $X_1, X_2, ..., X_{p+1}$ on M^A, where $\widehat{X_i}$ means that the term X_i is omitted.

The application

$$d^A : \Lambda(M^A, A) \longrightarrow \Lambda(M^A, A)$$

is A-linear and

$$d^A(\omega^A) = (d\omega)^A$$

for any $\omega \in \Lambda(M)$ [1]. It is obvious that if

$$d\omega = 0,$$

then

$$d^A(\omega^A) = 0.$$

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Then the manifold M is a Poisson manifold i.e. the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ carries a structure of Poisson algebra. For any linear form

$$\psi : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

the differential form $\psi \circ \omega^A$ is not necessary a symplectic form on M^A. That means that the prolongation ω^A does not always induce a structure of Poisson on M^A. In effect, let m be the maximal ideal of a local algebra A,

$$ann(m) = \{a \in A / a \cdot x = 0 \text{ for any } x \in m\}$$

and

$$\mu_A : A \times A \longrightarrow A, (a, b) \longmapsto a \cdot b,$$

the multiplication on A. Then there exists a linear form $\psi : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the bilinear symmetric form

$$\psi \circ \mu_A : A \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is nondegenerated if and only if $\dim [ann(m)] = 1$[5].

When (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold and $\psi \in A^*$ a linear form on A, then the scalar 2-form $\psi \circ \omega^A$ is a symplectic form on M^A if and only if $\dim [ann(m)] = 1$ and $\psi [ann(m)] \neq 0$: it is the case when $A = \mathbb{R}[T_1, ..., T_s] / [T_1^{k_1}, ..., T_s^{k_s}]$. Thus, when (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold, we cannot obtain a Poisson structure on M^A which comes from the prolongation of ω when $\dim [\mathrm{ann}(m)] \neq 1$. For example, it is the case when $A = \mathbb{R}[T_1, T_2] / (T_1, T_2)^2$.

In this paper, we do not study the structures of A-manifolds but we study the structures on M^A as an A-manifold. When M is a manifold, the basic algebra of M is $C^\infty(M)$. As $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ is a $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-module, considered as the set of derivations of $C^\infty(M)$ to $C^\infty(M^A, A)$, and a Lie algebra over A, and as M^A is an A-manifold, that means that the basic algebra of M^A is $C^\infty(M^A, A)$: thus the natural space for studying Poisson structures on M^A is $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ but not $C^\infty(M^A)$. When (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold, we will show that $\left(M^A, \omega^A\right)$ is a symplectic A-manifold.

The main goal of this paper is to define the notion of A-Poisson structures on M^A and to show that if a manifold M is a Poisson manifold, then M^A admits an A-Poisson structure. We also show that if M is a symplectic manifold, then M^A admits an A-Poisson structure such that this structure coincide with the structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A deduced by the structure of Poisson manifold on M defined by the symplectic form.

2 A-Poisson structures

We recall that a Poisson structure on a differentiable manifold M is due to the existence of a bracket $\{,\}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ such that the pair $(C^\infty(M), \{,\})$ is a real Lie algebra and

$$\{f, g \cdot h\} = \{f, g\} \cdot h + g \cdot \{f, h\}$$

for any $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$. In this case we say that M is a Poisson manifold and $C^\infty(M)$ is a Poisson algebra.

We will say that the A-algebra $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ is a Poisson A-algebra if there exists a bracket $\{,\}$ on $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that the pair $\left(C^\infty(M^A, A), \{,\}\right)$ is a

Lie algebra over A satisfaying

$$\{\varphi, \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2\} = \{\varphi, \psi_1\} \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \{\varphi, \psi_2\}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$. When $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ is a Poisson A-algebra, we will say that the manifold M^A is a A-Poisson manifold or M^A admits an A-Poisson structure.

2.1 Structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A when M is a Poisson manifold

In this part, M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$. In this case, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the application

$$ad(f) : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M), g \longmapsto \{f, g\},$$

is a vector field on M and, for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$, we get

$$ad(fg) = f \cdot ad(g) + g \cdot ad(f).$$

For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, let

$$[ad(f)]^A : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), g \longmapsto \{f, g\}^A,$$

be the prolongation of the vector field $ad(f)$ and let

$$\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

be the unique A-linear derivation such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A(g^A) &= [ad(f)]^A(g) \\ &= \{f, g\}^A \end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$[1].

Proposition 1 *For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, the application*

$$\tau_\varphi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto -\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A(\varphi),$$

is a vector field on M^A.

Proof. It is obvious that τ_φ is linear. For any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\varphi(fg) &= -\widetilde{[ad(fg)]}^A(\varphi) \\ &= -\widetilde{[f \cdot ad(g) + g \cdot ad(f)]}^A(\varphi) \\ &= f^A \cdot \left(-\widetilde{[ad(g)]}^A\right)(\varphi) + g^A \cdot \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A\right)(\varphi) \\ &= \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A\right)(\varphi) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A\right)(\varphi) \\ &= \tau_\varphi(f) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot \tau_\varphi(g). \end{aligned}$$

That ends the proof. ■

For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we denote

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

the unique A-linear derivation such that

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(f^A) = \tau_\varphi(f)$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

For $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we verify that

$$\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}} = [\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A.$$

Proposition 2 *For $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ and for $a \in A$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi+\psi}} &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi} + \widetilde{\tau_\psi};\\
\widetilde{\tau_{a\cdot\varphi}} &= a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi};\\
\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi\cdot\psi}} &= \varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\psi} + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, $\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} + \widetilde{\tau_\psi}$ is an A-linear derivation. For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} + \widetilde{\tau_\psi})(f^A) &= (\widetilde{\tau_\varphi})(f^A) + (\widetilde{\tau_\psi})(f^A)\\
&= \left(-[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A\right)(\varphi) + \left(-[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A\right)(\psi)\\
&= \left(-[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A\right)(\varphi + \psi)\\
&= (\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi+\psi}})(f^A).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi+\psi}} = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi} + \widetilde{\tau_\psi}.$$

For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, $a \in A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi})(f^A) &= a \cdot (\widetilde{\tau_\varphi})(f^A)\\
&= a \cdot \left(-[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A\right)(\varphi)\\
&= \left(-[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A\right)(a \cdot \varphi)\\
&= \left(\widetilde{\tau_{a\cdot\varphi}}\right)(f^A).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\widetilde{\tau_{a\cdot\varphi}} = a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}.$$

For $\varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, $\varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}} + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}$ is an A-linear derivation. For any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}} + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}\right](f^A) &= \varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}(f^A) + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(f^A) \\
&= \varphi \cdot \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]^A}\right)(\psi) + \psi \cdot \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]^A}\right)(\varphi) \\
&= \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]^A}\right)(\varphi \cdot \psi) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi \cdot \psi}}(f^A).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\widetilde{\tau_{\varphi \cdot \psi}} = \varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}} + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}.$$

That ends the proof. ■

For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, we let

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_A = \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(\psi).$$

In what follows, we will show that this bracket defines a structure of Poisson A-algebra on $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$.

Proposition 3 *The application*

$$\{,\}_A : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \times C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), (\varphi, \psi) \longmapsto \{\varphi, \psi\}_A\,,$$

is A-bilinear and skew-symmetric.

Proof. It is obvious that this application is A-bilinear. For any $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, we verify that the application

$$H_{\varphi} : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), \psi \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(\psi) + \widetilde{\tau_{\psi}}(\varphi)$$

is an A-linear derivation. The application

$$\sigma_{\varphi} : C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A), f \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(f^A) + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi),$$

is a vector field on M^A considered as a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$. As for $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\varphi}(f^A) &= \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(f^A) + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi) \\
&= \left(\widetilde{\sigma_{\varphi}}\right)(f^A).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce, [1], that

$$H_{\varphi} = \widetilde{\sigma_{\varphi}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\sigma_{\varphi}}\right)(f^A) &= \left(\sigma_{\varphi}\right)(f) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_{\varphi}}(f^A) + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi) \\
&= \left(-\widetilde{[ad(f)]^A}\right)(\varphi) + \left(\widetilde{[ad(f)]^A}\right)(\varphi) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Thus we conclude that $\widetilde{\sigma_\varphi} = 0$ i.e. $H_\varphi = 0$. For any $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, we get

$$H_\varphi(\psi) = 0$$

i.e.

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi) + \widetilde{\tau_\psi}(\varphi) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_A = -\{\psi, \varphi\}_A .$$

As the characteristic is different of 2, therefore

$$\{\varphi, \varphi\}_A = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$. ■

Proposition 4 *For any $\varphi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, then*

$$\{\varphi, \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2\}_A = \{\varphi, \psi_1\}_A \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \{\varphi, \psi_2\}_A .$$

.

Proof. For any $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, as

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$$

is an A- linear derivation, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi, \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2\}_A &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2) \\ &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2) \\ &= \{\varphi, \psi_1\}_A \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \{\varphi, \psi_2\}_A . \end{aligned}$$

That ends the proof. ■

Proposition 5 *For $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, the application*

$$H_{(f^A, \varphi)} : C^{\infty}(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M^A, A),$$

defined by

$$H_{(f^A, \varphi)}(\psi) = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi)\right]$$

for any $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M^A, A)$, is an A-linear derivation which is zero.

Proof. In fact for $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{(f^A, \varphi)}(a \cdot \psi) \\ &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(a \cdot \psi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{a \cdot \psi}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(a \cdot \psi)\right] \\ &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi)\right] - (a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\psi})\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[a \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi)\right] \\ &= a \cdot \left(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi)\right]\right) \\ &= a \cdot H_{(f^A, \varphi)}(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

We also have, for $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1+\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1) + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= \ \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1)\right] \\
&+ \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_1) + H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1\cdot\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \left[\psi_2 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}} + \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\right]\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2\right] + \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \left(\psi_2 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\right)\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- \left(\psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\right)\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1)\right] \cdot \psi_2 + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2) + \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\,(\psi_1) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2) \\
&+ \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \psi_2 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1)\right] \cdot \psi_2 - \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\,(\psi_2) \\
&- \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\,(\psi_1) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2) - \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1)\right] \cdot \psi_2 - \psi_2 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1)\right] \cdot \psi_2 \\
&+ \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \psi_1 \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right] \\
&= \left(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_1)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_1}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_1)\right]\right) \cdot \psi_2 \\
&+ \psi_1 \cdot \left(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi_2)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\psi_2}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi_2)\right]\right) \\
&= H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2 + \psi_1 \cdot H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the application $H_{(f^A,\varphi)}$ is an A-linear derivation.

The application

$$\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)} : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A),$$

defined by

$$\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}(g) = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A)\right]$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$, is a vector field on M^A. It is obvious that $\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}$ is linear.

For $g, h \in C^\infty(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}(gh) &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(gh)^A\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{(gh)^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(gh)^A\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A \cdot h^A)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{g^A \cdot h^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A \cdot h^A)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A) \cdot h^A + g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(h^A)\right] - g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{h^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- h^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A) \cdot h^A + g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(h^A)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)] \cdot h^A + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(h^A) + \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(h^A) \\
&+ g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(h^A)\right] - g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{h^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - h^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&- \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A)] \cdot h^A - \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(h^A) - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A) \cdot \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(h^A) \\
&- g^A \cdot \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(h^A)\right] \\
&= \left(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)] - \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A)]\right) \cdot h^A \\
&+ g^A \cdot \left(\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(h^A)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{h^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(h^A)\right]\right) \\
&= \sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}(g) \cdot h^A + g^A \cdot \sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}(h).
\end{aligned}$$

The application $\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}$ is a vector field on M^A.

It is obvious that

$$H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(g^A) = \sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}(g)$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$. Thus, [1], we have

$$H_{(f^A,\varphi)} = \widetilde{\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}}(g^A) &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(g^A)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)\right] - \tilde{\tau}_{g^A}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] + \widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)\right] + \left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}, \widetilde{\tau_{g^A}}\right](\varphi) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(g^A)\right] + \left[\widetilde{[ad(f)]}^A, \widetilde{[ad(g)]}^A\right](\varphi) \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left(\{f,g\}^A\right) + [ad\,\widetilde{\{f,g\}}]^A(\varphi) \\
&= -[ad\,\widetilde{\{f,g\}}]^A(\varphi) + [ad\,\widetilde{\{f,g\}}]^A(\varphi) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$. We have, [1],

$$\widetilde{\sigma_{(f^A,\varphi)}} = 0$$

i.e. $H_{(f^A,\varphi)} = 0$. ■

Proposition 6 *For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, then*

$$[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}, \widetilde{\tau_\psi}] = \widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}}.$$

Proof. For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, as $H_{(f^A,\varphi)} = 0$, then

$$H_{(f^A,\varphi)}(\psi) = 0$$

for any $\psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi)\right] &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\psi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_{f^A}}(\varphi)\right] \\
\left\{f^A, \{\varphi,\psi\}_A\right\}_A &= \left\{\varphi, \left\{f^A,\psi\right\}_A\right\}_A - \left\{\psi, \left\{f^A,\varphi\right\}_A\right\}_A \\
-\left\{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A, f^A\right\}_A &= -\left\{\varphi, \left\{\psi, f^A\right\}_A\right\}_A + \left\{\psi, \left\{\varphi, f^A\right\}_A\right\}_A .
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A, f^A\right\}_A &= \left\{\varphi, \left\{\psi, f^A\right\}_A\right\}_A - \left\{\psi, \left\{\varphi, f^A\right\}_A\right\}_A \\
\widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}}(f^A) &= (\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\circ\widetilde{\tau_\psi})((f^A) - (\widetilde{\tau_\psi}\circ\widetilde{\tau_\varphi})((f^A) \\
&= \left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}, \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\right]\left(f^A\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}, \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\right]\left(f^A\right) = \widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}}(f^A).$$

Therefore, [1],

$$\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}, \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\right] = \widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}}.$$

That ends the proof. ■

We now will show the identity of Jacobi.

Proposition 7 *For any $\varphi, \psi, \phi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, then*

$$\{\varphi, \{\psi,\phi\}_A\}_A + \{\psi, \{\phi,\varphi\}_A\}_A + \{\phi, \{\varphi,\psi\}_A\}_A = 0.$$

Proof. For $\varphi, \psi, \phi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\varphi, \{\psi,\phi\}_A\}_A + \{\psi, \{\phi,\varphi\}_A\}_A + \{\phi, \{\varphi,\psi\}_A\}_A \\
&= \{\varphi, \{\psi,\phi\}_A\}_A - \{\psi, \{\varphi,\phi\}_A\}_A - \{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A, \phi\}_A \\
&= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\psi}(\phi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\phi)\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}}(\phi) \\
&= (\left[\widetilde{\tau_\varphi}, \widetilde{\tau_\psi}\right] - \widetilde{\tau_{\{\varphi,\psi\}_A}})(\phi) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That ends the proof. ■

Thus, we have shown the following theorem:

Theorem 8 *If M is a Poisson manifold with bracket $\{,\}$, then M^A is a A-Poisson manifold with the bracket*

$$\{,\}_A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \times C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), (\varphi,\psi) \longmapsto \{\varphi,\psi\}_A = \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(\psi).$$

In this case, we will say that the structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A defined by $\{,\}_A$ is the prolongation on M^A of the structure of Poisson manifold on M defined by $\{,\}$.

2.2 Structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A when M is a symplectic manifold

Proposition 9 *If ω is a differential form on M and if θ is a vector field on M, then*

$$(i_\theta \omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A).$$

Proof. If the degree of ω is p, then $(i_\theta\omega)^A$ is the unique differential A-form of degree $p-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(i_\theta\omega)^A(\theta_1^A, ..., \theta_{p-1}^A) &= [(i_\theta\omega)(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{p-1})]^A \\ &= [\omega(\theta, \theta_1, ..., \theta_{p-1})]^A\end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. As $i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$ is of degree $p-1$ and is such that

$$\begin{aligned}i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)\left[\theta_1^A, ..., \theta_{p-1}^A\right] &= \omega^A(\theta^A, \theta_1^A, ..., \theta_{p-1}^A) \\ &= [\omega(\theta, \theta_1, ..., \theta_{p-1})]^A\end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we conclude that $(i_\theta\omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$. ■

Proposition 10 *If (M, Ω) is a symplectic manifold, then the application*

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(M^A, A), X \longmapsto i_X\Omega^A,$$

is an isomorphism of $C^\infty(M^A, A)$-modules.

Proof. Let X be a vector field on M^A such that $i_X\Omega^A = 0$. Let $\xi \in M^A$ with origin $x_0 \in M$. As Ω is a symplectic form, we can choose a system of local coordinates $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n})$ on an open U, $x_0 \in U$, such that

$$\Omega|_U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \; \Lambda dx_{i+n}.$$

Thus $\xi \in U^A$ and

$$\Omega^A|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d^A(x_i^A) \; \Lambda d^A(x_{i+n}^A).$$

As $i_X\Omega^A = 0$, by writing $X|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i+n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+n}}\right)^A$ where $f_i, f_{i+n} \in C^\infty(U^A, A)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \left[i_{X|_{U^A}}\Omega^A|_{U^A}\right]\left((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^A\right) \\ &= -f_{i+n}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \left[i_{X|_{U^A}}\Omega^A|_{U^A}\right]\left((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+n}})^A\right)\\ &= f_i\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Thus $X|_{U^A} = 0$. Therefore $X(\xi) = 0$. As ξ is arbitrary, we have $X = 0$. The application

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(M^A, A), X \longmapsto i_X\Omega^A,$$

is injective.

Let $\eta \in \Lambda^1(M^A, A)$, $\xi \in M^A$ with origine $x_0 \in U$ where (U, φ) is a chart with local coordinates $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n})$ such that

$$\Omega|_U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i\ \Lambda dx_{i+n}$$

and

$$\Omega^A|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d^A(x_i^A)\ \Lambda d^A(x_{i+n}^A).$$

By writing

$$\eta|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i d^A(x_i^A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i+n} d^A(x_{i+n}^A),$$

where $h_i, h_{i+n} \in C^\infty(U^A, A)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, we verify that the vector field

$$\theta_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i+n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+n}}\right)^A$$

is such that $i_{\theta_{U^A}}\Omega^A|_{U^A} = \eta|_{U^A}$. If (V, ψ) is an other chart around x_0 with local coordinates $(x_1^{'}, x_2^{'}, ..., x_{2n}^{'})$ such that

$$\Omega|_V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i'\ \Lambda dx_{i+n}^{'}$$

and

$$\Omega^A|_{V^A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d^A(x_i^{'A})\ \Lambda d^A(x_{i+n}^{'A}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta|_{U^A\cap V^A} &= (\eta|_{U^A})|_{U^A\cap V^A}\\ &= (i_{\theta_{U^A}|_{U^A\cap V^A}}\Omega^A)|_{U^A\cap V^A}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\eta|_{U^A\cap V^A} &= (\eta|_{V^A})|_{U^A\cap V^A}\\ &= (i_{\theta_{V^A}|_{U^A\cap V^A}}\Omega^A)|_{U^A\cap V^A}\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\theta_{U^A}|_{U^A\cap V^A} = \theta_{V^A}|_{U^A\cap V^A}$. If $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ is a covering of M with such opens, then there exists a vector field X on M^A such that

$$X|_{U_i^A} = \theta_{U_i^A}.$$

We have $\eta = i_X\Omega^A$ and we conclude that the application

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(M^A, A), X \longmapsto i_X\Omega^A,$$

is surjective. ■

Corollary 11 *When (M,Ω) is a symplectic manifold, then (M^A,Ω^A) is a symplectic A-manifold.*

When (M,Ω) is a symplectic manifold, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we denote X_f the unique vector field on M such that

$$i_{X_f}\Omega = df$$

and for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we denote X_φ the unique vector field on M^A, considered as a derivation of $C^\infty(M)$ into $C^\infty(M^A, A)$, such that

$$i_{X_\varphi}\Omega^A = d^A(\varphi).$$

In this case, we know that

$$X_f = ad(f).$$

We easily verify that the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi,\psi\}_{\Omega^A} &= -\Omega^A(X_\varphi, X_\psi)\\ &= \widetilde{X_\varphi}(\psi)\end{aligned}$$

defines a structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A.

Proposition 12 *If (M,Ω) is a symplectic manifold, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ then*

$$X_{f^A} = (X_f)^A.$$

Proof. The differential A-form

$$i_{(X_f)^A}\Omega^A$$

is the unique differential A-form of degree 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left[i_{(X_f)^A}\Omega^A\right](\theta^A) &= \Omega^A((X_f)^A, \theta^A)\\ &= [\Omega(X_f, \theta)]^A\end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. On the other hand, the differential A-form $i_{X_{f^A}}\Omega^A$ is of degree 1 and is such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left[i_{X_{f^A}}\Omega^A\right](\theta^A) &= \Omega^A(X_{f^A}, \theta^A)\\ &= \widetilde{\theta^A}(f^A)\\ &= \theta^A(f)\\ &= [\theta(f)]^A\\ &= [(df)(\theta)]^A\\ &= (df)^A(\theta^A)\\ &= \left[i_{X_f}\Omega\right]^A(\theta^A)\\ &= [\Omega(X_f, \theta)]^A\end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We conclude that

$$i_{(X_f)^A}\Omega^A = i_{X_{f^A}}\Omega^A.$$

Thus, we deduce that $X_{f^A} = (X_f)^A$. ■

We state the following theorem:

Theorem 13 *If (M, Ω) is a symplectic manifold, the structure of A-Poisson manifold on M^A defined by Ω^A coincide with the prolongation on M^A of the Poisson structure on M defined by the symplectic form Ω.*

Proof. We will show that

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} = \widetilde{X_\varphi}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$. For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{X_\varphi}(f^A) &= \left[d^A\left(f^A\right)\right](X_\varphi)\\ &= \left[i_{X_{f^A}}\Omega^A\right](X_\varphi)\\ &= -\Omega^A\left(X_\varphi, X_{f^A}\right)\\ &= -\Omega^A\left[X_\varphi, (X_f)^A\right]\\ &= -\left[i_{X_\varphi}\Omega^A\right]\left((X_f)^A\right)\\ &= -(d^A\varphi)\left((X_f)^A\right)\\ &= -\widetilde{(X_f)^A}(\varphi)\\ &= -\widetilde{[ad\,(f)]^A}(\varphi)\\ &= \widetilde{\tau_\varphi}(f^A).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce, [1], that

$$\widetilde{\tau_\varphi} = \widetilde{X_\varphi}.$$

Therefore, for any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we have

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A} = \{\varphi, \psi\}_A .$$

That ends the proof. ■

References

[1] B.G.R. Bossoto, E. Okassa, Champs de vecteurs et formes différentielles sur une variété des points proches, Archivum Mathematicum (Brno), 44 (2008) 159-171.

[2] A. Morimoto, Prolongation of connections to bundles of infinitely near points, J.Diff.Geom., t.11, 1976, 479-498.

[3] E. Okassa, Prolongements des champs de vecteurs à des variétés des points proches, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t.300, Série I, n° 6, 1985, 173-176.

[4] E. Okassa, Prolongement des champs de vecteurs à des variétés des points proches, Annales Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, Vol. VIII, n° 3, 1986-1987, 349-366.

[5] E. Okassa, Relèvements des structures symplectiques et pseudo-riemanniennes à des variétés des points proches, Nagoya Math. J., Vol.115 (1989), 63-71.

[6] I. Vaisman, Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds, Progress in Math. 118, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.

[7] A. Weil, Théorie des points proches sur les variétés différentiables, Colloque Géom. Diff. Strasbourg, 1953, 111-117.